



REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicant responds herein to the Office Action dated June 22, 2005.

Claims 1-15 have been rejected under the 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph and under 35 U.S.C. §101, essentially because the claims recite method steps without explicitly stating the steps thereof.

Reconsideration is requested.

The applicant has amended the claims in a manner which is believed to have rendered them in a form which correctly casts them in the style of United States method claims. Therefore, a formal Notice of Allowance is requested.

Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider the application, allow the claims as amended and pass this case to issue.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on September 22, 2005:

MAX MOSKOWITZ

Name of applicant, assignee or
Registered Representative

Signature

September 22, 2005

Date of Signature

Respectfully submitted,

MAX MOSKOWITZ

Registration No.: 30,576

OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP

1180 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York 10036-8403

Telephone: (212) 382-0700